Memorandum

Date:

April 8, 2010

To:

Golden Gate Division

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

San Jose Area

File No.:

340.11167

Subject:

2010 FIRST QUARTER COMMAND CHAPTER 7 INSPECTION

This memorandum is intended to serve as the written response to the 2010 first quarter Chapter 7 inspection for the San Jose Area. The San Jose Area management and supervisors actively oversee injury and illness case management to ensure that Area adheres to the policy contained in Highway Patrol Manual (HPM) 10.7, Injury and Illness Case Management Manual.

FINDINGS REQUIRING FOLLOW-UP:

No findings were identified which require follow-up.

Questions regarding this response may be directed to me or Lieutenant Spencer Boyce at (408)

467-5400.

C. J. WAYNE, Captain

Commander

Attachments

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

TYCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

_	ao	ıe	1	of	2
---	----	----	---	----	---

Command:	Division:	Chapter:	
San Jose Area	Golden Gate	7	
Inspected by:	Date:		
Sgt. John Warre	3/16/2010		

number of the inspection in the Chapter In shall be routed to and its due date. This d	spection is spection in the spection is spection in the spection in the spection in the spection is spection in the spection i	Check appropriate boxes as necessary, or for number. Under "Forward to:" enter the neent shall be utilized to document innovative proction plans. A CHP 51 Memorandum may be	actices, suggestions for statewide		
TYPE OF INSPECTION ☐ Division Level ☐ Command Level ☐ Executive Office Level		Total hours expended on the inspection:	☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included		
Follow-up Requirea:	Forwa Due D				
Chapter Inspection: Inspector's Comments Regard None.	ing Ir	nnovative Practices:			
pmmand Suggestions for Sta	tewic	de Improvement:			
None.					
The quality of the paperwork inv	olve of the		direct reflection of the efforts of		
Commander's Response: 🗵 C	oncu	Ir or □ Do Not Concur (Do Not Con	cur shall document basis for response)		
Inspector's Comments: Shall ac etc.)	ldress	non concurrence by commander (e.g., f	findings revised, findings unchanged,		

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

TYCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

rage 2 of 2

Command: Division: San Jose Area Golden Gate		Chapter:
Inspected by:	Date:	
Sgt. John Warre	3/16/2010	

4	
Required Action	数1000000000000000000000000000000000000
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline	
N/A.	

	A	
Employee would like to discuss this report with	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
the reviewer.		4/0/1
(See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	CALLET	1/8/10
5 A 4 A 4 A 4 A 4 A 4 A 4 A 4 A 4 A 4 A	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE',
	Jale 100	3/16/2010
Reviewer discussed this report with	RÉVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
employee	[/) [A _	U . A. 16
☐ Concur ☐ Do not concur	Civi,	11/10

Page

1 of 3

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

'ARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

SMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 7

Command Illness and Injury Case Management

Command: Division:		Number:		
San Jose	7			
Evaluated by:	Date:			
Sgt. John Warı	3/16/2010			
Assisted by:	Date:			
Nenita Linsao	3/16/2010			

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statues, or deficiencies note section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be level of command. Furthermore, the Exceptions Document shall incluused as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be	ed in the insplaced in the insplaced in the interest in the insplaced in the interest in the i	pections sha I on an Exce w-up and/or	all be commeptions Doc corrective a	nented on via the "Remarks" cument and addressed to the next action(s) taken. If this form is	
	I lead Insne	ector's Signatu	ire: M	n	
TYPE OF INSPECTION		Lead Inspector's Signature: Sgt. John Warren			
☐ Division Level ☐ Command Level	900	ogi. oomi waren			
Executive Office Level Voluntary Self-Inspection		1			
Follow-up Required:	Commande	Signature		Date:	
☐ Follow-up Inspection	Pall	(1111)		1/ 0 10	
Yes No	MUL	XIIVO	yr.	4-8-10	
		1/00/			
For applicable policy, refer to: HPM 10.7		U	k		
ote: If a "No" or "N/A" box is checked, the "Remarks" se	ction shall	be utilize	d for expl	anation.	
1. Has the command posted the required STD e13708,					
Notice to State Employees, in a prominent place?		☐ No	☐ N/A	Remarks:	
Has the command posted a Safety and Health					
Protection on the job notice in a prominent place?		☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
3. Has the command posted a Cal-OSHA S-11 notice in a conspicuous place?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
Has the commander prepared a Commander's					
Memorandum for distribution to injured employees		☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
expressing their desire to assist the employee					
resume normal duty, outlining departmental policy, and employee rights and responsibilities?					
5. Does the command maintain a current CHP 121D,					
Injury and Illness Status Report?	⊠Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
6. Has the command provided required notification via				_	
Comm-Net to the appropriate next level of command	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
regarding employees who are off duty as a result of occupational injury or illness for 30 calendar days or					
more?					
7. Does the command maintain a current OSHA 300?				Remarks:	
0 1-11-00114-0001		☐ No	□ N/A	INGINAINS.	
Is the OSHA 300 log secured due to medical confidentiality and has it been regularly updated	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
based on employee's health status changes?			I LINA		

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
'ARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

NSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 7

Command Illness and Injury Case Management

9. Have injury and illnesses been recorded in the OSHA 300 log within six workdays of the specific occurrence?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
10. Has a Cal-OSHA number been assigned to every injury and illness which is recorded in the OSHA 300 log?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
11. Has the command forwarded the previous year's OSHA 300 log to their respective Division (January 15 th for Areas, communication centers, inspection facilities, and Academy or February 15 th for Divisions and Headquarters commands)?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
12. Was/or is the OSHA 300A (Summary of Work Related Injury and Illnesses) posted in a prominent place from February 1 st of the following year until April 30 th ?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
13. Does the command maintain a current five year record of the OSHA 300 log which is current?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
14. Does the command maintain a current five year record of CHP 121s which is current?		□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
15. Have CHP 121s been completed by a supervisor within 24 hours of the notification of the alleged injury or illness?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
16. Has the commander or his/her designee signed all CHP 121s within three days of notification of the injury or illness?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
17. Have all CHP 121s been filed with the State Compensation Insurance Fund (SCIF) within five days of the notification of the injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
18. Have all CHP 121As been completed within 24 hours of the notification of the injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
19. Have all CHP 121As been signed by the affected employee (if possible) within 24 hours of the notification of the injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
20. Have all CHP 121Bs been completed and signed by the affected employee (if possible) within 24 hours of notification of the injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
21. Have all CHP 121Cs been completed and signed by the affected employee (if possible) within 24 hours of notification of the injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 7

Command Illness and Injury Case Management

22. Have all CHP 442s been updated accordingly within three days of notification of an employee injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
23. Have supervisors (if applicable) provided CHP 443s to the employees' medical care providers?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
24. Have supervisors provided CHP 600s to employees within 24 hours of the notification of an injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
25. Have supervisors provided CHP 601s to employees within 24 hours of the notification of an injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
26. Have supervisors provided SCIF 3301s to employees within 24 hours of the notification of an injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
Has the command prepared and posted/distributed the memorandum advising employees of the command's authorized medical providers and/or facilities	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
28. Has the command sent Comm-Net messages to the Office of Risk Management (ORM) Disability and Retirement Unit (DRU) within 24 hours of the notification of a nondisabling or disabling occupational injury or illness?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
29. Does the command have copies of approved medical care providers posted for employees?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
30. Have all employees who voluntarily participated in the Annual Fitness Challenge completed a medical prescreening questionnaire?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
31. Has the command provided an Options Letter (if applicable) to an employee who has been medically determined to be permanently precluded from returning to their regular job duties?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: This situation has not occurred. However, in the event it does, an Options Letter will be provided.
32. In the event of an employee's serious injury or death, was the appropriate assistant commissioner or designee contacted immediately?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
33. In the event of an employee's serious injury or death, were the Division of Occupational Safety and Health (DOSH) and the Office of Risk Management contacted by telephone within eight hours?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks: